### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 435 085 CS 013 669

TITLE Using Multiple Methods of Beginning Reading Instruction. A

Position Statement of the International Reading Association.

INSTITUTION International Reading Association, Newark, DE.

PUB DATE 1999-00-00

NOTE 11p.

AVAILABLE FROM International Reading Association, 800 Barksdale Road, P.O.

Box 8139, Newark, DE 19714-8139 (single brochure copies free

on request when accompanied by stamped, self-addressed

envelope). Web site: <a href="http://www.reading.org">http://www.reading.org</a>.

PUB TYPE Opinion Papers (120) EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS \*Beginning Reading; \*Classroom Techniques; \*Emergent

Literacy; Methods Research; Position Papers; Primary

Education; \*Reading Instruction; Reading Research; \*Reading

Strategies; \*Teacher Role

IDENTIFIERS International Reading Association

### ABSTRACT

This position paper aims to clarify the International Reading Association's stance on methods for teaching beginning reading (i.e., reading methods). The paper first defines a reading method as a set of teaching and learning materials and/or activities often given a label, such as a phonics method, literature-based method, or language experience method. It then advances the position that since there is no single method or single combination of methods that can successfully teach all children to read, teachers must have a strong knowledge of multiple methods for teaching reading and a strong knowledge of the children in their care so they can create the appropriate balance of methods needed for the children they teach. The paper then poses and answers six relevant questions about supporting research; controversy; methods available for teaching beginning reading; and implications of this position at the federal, state, district, and school levels. Contains 12 references. (NKA)



TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

## **BEST COPY AVAILABLE**

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

Statement of the nternational Reading Position Associati ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

ED 432 082

S

of controversy. The controversy is perhaps as intense as reading is imporistorically, methods for teaching beginning reading have been the subject tant for the school children who are its focus of concern. Early reading ability influences academic success across the school curriculum, and

parents, teachers, and policy makers are right to be intensely concerned. The International mic awareness as well as a joint position statement with the National Association for the related to beginning reading instruction, including statements about phonics and phone-Education of Young Children, Learning to Read and Write: Developmentally Appropriate Reading Association has developed position statements on several important issues Practices for Young Children (1998).

a label, such as phonics method, literature based method, or language experience method. A reading method is a set of teaching and learning materials and/or activities often given The purpose of this position statement is to clarify the Association's stance on methods for teaching beginning reading (hereafter referred to as reading methods). The essence of the position is this:

Lhere is no single method or single combination of methods that can successfully teach all children to read. Therefore, teachers must have a strong knowledge of multiple methods for teaching reading and a strong knowledge of the children in their care so they can create the appropriate balance of methods needed for the children they teach.

# Is this position supported by research?

There is a strong research base supporting this position. Several large-scale studies of reading methods have shown that no one method is better than any other method in all settings and situations (Adams, 1990; Bond & Dykstra, 1967; Foorman et al., 1998; Hoffman, 1994; Stallings, 1975). For every method studied, some children learned to read very well while others had great difficulty. This is not a new finding. For example, in their report on the First-Grade Studies, Bond and Dykstra (1967) wrote the following:

Children learn to read by a variety of materials and methods. Pupils become successful readers in such vastly different programs as the Language Experience approach with its relative lack of structure and vocabulary control and the various Linguistic programs with their relatively high degree of structure and vocabulary control. Furthermore, pupils experienced difficulty in each of the programs utilized. No one approach is so distinctively better in all situations and respects than the others that it should be considered the one best method and the one to be used exclusively. (p. 123)

The authors quoted Russell and Fea (1963) to illustrate their claim:

Thinking in the field has moved away somewhat from either-or points of view about one method or set of books to a realization that different children learn in different ways, that the processes of learning to read and reading are more complex than we once thought, and that the issues in reading instruction are many sided. (p. 867)

Subsequent research has further demonstrated the naiveté of either-or viewpoints, leading Adams (1990) to conclude, "Given the tremendous variations from school to school and implementation to implementation, we should be very clear that the prescription of a method can never in itself guarantee the best of all possible outcomes" (pp. 38–39).

## support for this position, why is Zolf there is such strong research there so much controversy?

not read as well as they must to function in a socie-Perhaps the most important reason for this controthere are a significant number of children who do versy is that although most children learn to read, controversy results because we are not teaching ty that has increasing demands for literacy. The reading as well as we would like to or need to.

sible for the effects on the children. Random assignment to a methods treatment occurs rarely. Parents Controlling the time spent across classrooms is also method, and not just good teachers, that is responstudies of reading methods are difficult to conduct and the results of such studies are difficult to intermeans that the same teacher—or teachers who are dren in schools. And determining whether it is the somehow "equivalent"—must teach the competing dards—such as randomly assigning children, classsure that children spend the same amount of time in reading activities; and making sure that it is the difficult given the complexities of scheduling chilmethods. (For an extended treatment of this topic rooms, or schools to methods treatments; making pret. Quality methods research meets many stanteacher or the methods that are having an effect A second reason for the controversy is that do not look kindly on arbitrary decisions about something as important as reading instruction. see Pressley & Allington, in press.)

some methods may work for some children and not confusing. For most methods some studies find stadifferences, and there are some for which the find-Another reason for the inconclusive results is that listically significant differences, some do not find Because of the difficulty of conducting good reading methods research, results are sometimes ings are not conclusive one way or the other.

that has led to more confusion than clarity. Reading read. In addition, specific arrangements and materisearch is defining the term reading method, a term method is a broad label that describes actual classals within the classroom invironment support chilroom teaching in a very general way. Many different activities are used to teach young children to One of the major difficulties in methods re-

aspect of teaching beginning reading and so be said to use a "phonics" method, a "whole language" books aloud to children, and have children take books home to read. Often reading methods studies A given reading method may emphasize a particular may be incorporated in a classroom. Not surprisinga coherent program for teaching beginning reading. ly, many reading methods combine teaching activi-However, some of the same activities may occur in classrooms that use different "methods." For examties from a number of different sources to develop emphasis programs may use phonics lessons. read ple, teachers in both code-emphasis and meaningbased" method, or a "meaning-emphasis" method. ways these activities, arrangements, and materials method, a "code-emphasis" method, a "literaturedren's literacy learning. There are many different do not give clear descriptions of what is actually occurring in the classroom; hence the particular 'method' is not well defined.

children to summarize, they learn how to give better dren learn what we teach them (Pearson & Fielding sistently. What do we mean when we say a method are able to read lists of words in isolation. In others 1991). If we teach them how to pronounce pseudoworks? In some studies a method works if children words, they learn how to pronounce pseudowords our measures of what "works" are not defined conthe "right" method. For all these reasons beginning "works" means that children can answer questions to claim they "work," but that does not necessarily mean that any of these methods are better than all summaries. Therefore, many methods have a right or most other methods or that any one of them is Another problem with methods studies is that have learned from methods studies, it is that chiland sometimes lists of regular words. If we teach on a multiple-choice test. If there is anything we reading instruction has been controversial.

studies, what do we know about Given the difficulty of conducting and interpreting methods teaching beginning reading?

Although there is controversy about how to teach what it is that children need to learn. A great deal children to read, there is less controversy about

of research evidence converges on the following definition of reading (see also Snow, Burns, & Griffin, 1998):

ing from print that requires all of the following: Reading is a complex system of deriving mean-

- · the development and maintenance of a motivation to read
  - the development of appropriate active strate-
- sufficient background information and vocabulary to foster reading comprehension gies to construct meaning from print
  - · the ability to read fluently
- · the ability to decode unfamiliar words
- · the skills and knowledge to understand how phonemes or speech sounds are connected to print

A skilled beginning reading teacher is a professionassess children in light of the definition, and then can adjust the balance of methods so that each al who knows what this definition means, can child is taught what he or she needs to learn.

## hat methods are available for aching beginning reading

ding program must incorporate a variety of activand how much time should be devoted to each are that can promote young children's literacy learning. best answered through studies in the particular set-Studies point to a number of instructional practices on how well they fit with children's needs in learnward literacy, as well as the knowledge, strategies, (International Reading Association, 1998). Children tings of concern. For more information about best ities in order to give children positive attitudes to-All of these practices can be effective, depending know letter-sound correspondences are not likely who can use predictable language to read a book tions of how these activities should be combined Developmentally Appropriate Practices for Young as in shared reading (Johnston, 1998). The quesare not likely to benefit from having the teacher to benefit from training in phonemic awareness know that a sound and effective beginning ing to read. For example, children who already practices refer to Learning to Read and Write: and skills they need to be successful readers. read the whole book to them in advance,

Children, 1998) and Preventing Reading Difficulties in Young Children (Snow, Burns, & Griffin, 1998). National Association for the Education of Young E Sinidren (International Reading Association &

### content of beginning reading Who should decide the instruction?

ing. These are the facts behind the International ones to make the decisions about what reading determine that particular children are not learnway to teach beginning reading, professionals methods to use, and they must have the flexi-Because there is no clearly documented best policy mandates for reading methods, which who are closest to the children must be the Reading Association's (1998) resolution on bility to modify those methods when they includes the following statements:

sive to student needs. Ultimately the effects even replace professional decision making, and to limit the potential for all students to of such mandates are to reduce the quality reading achievement, we must locate decision making at the point of service to sturesulting in instruction that is least respondents. Broad mandates can intrude on or of instruction in schools and classrooms If we are to be successful in promoting be successful in learning to read.

### state, district, and school levels? What are the implications of this position at the federal,

Legislation at the federal and state levels should not development in reading instruction, and that enable policy makers should provide resources, particularly for schools and children in high poverty settings, that allow school districts to provide professional prescribe particular methods. At the federal level them to provide appropriate reading material.

Policy makers also must support further research on decision-making processes at the state, district, and perspectives. Policy makers also should support successful practice, deriving from a range of

rameworks should promote a balanced view of readdefinition of reading offered here. Policy makers also Policy makers should provide funds for professional ng instruction that attends to all the features of the approaches to reading instruction at the state level. should provide funding for the purchase of books Policy makers also should support balanced development. State standards and language arts that children can read on their own and enjoy.

School districts must enlist the support of parents in School districts must develop reading programs provide a balanced approach to reading instruction. children. School districts must show, using multiple and involve them in the academic progress of their dren are allotted adequate time for reading. School that meet the needs of all children. School districts should provide guidelines that ensure that all childistricts also should provide the necessary professional development activities so that teachers can developing teachers' knowledge of their children measures, that federal, state, and local resources have been used to improve children's reading.

sued by Bond and Dykstra (1967) in their report on We end this position statement with a call isthe First-Grade Studies.

and learning situation characteristics rather than out the importance of elements in the learning rather than to expect a panacea in the form of method and materials. The tremendous range among classrooms within any method points Future research might well center on teacher ployed. To improve reading instruction, it is necessary to train better teachers of reading situation over and above the methods emmaterials. (p. 123)

## References

Adams, M.J. (1990). Beginning to read: Thinking and learning about print. Cambridge, MA: Massachusetts Institute of Technology Press.

research program in first-grade reading instruction. Bond, G.L., & Dykstra, R. (1967). The cooperative Reading Research Quarterly, 2, 10-141.

struction in learning to read: Preventing reading failure Schatschneider, C., & Mehta, P. (1998). The role of inin at-risk children. Journal of Educational Psychology, Foorman, B.R., Francis, D.J., Fletcher, J.M., 90(1), 37-55. Hoffman, J.V. (1994). So what's new in the new basals? A focus in first grade. Journal of Reading Behavior, 26(1), 47-73.

gates assembly at the 43rd Annual Convention of the Resolution on policy mandates. Adopted by the dele-International Reading Association. (1998, May). International Reading Association, Orlando, FL.

appropriate practices for young children. Newark, DE: (1998). Learning to read and write: Developmentally International Reading Association; Washington, DC: International Reading Association & National Association for the Education of Young, Children National Association for the Education of Young

Johnston, P. (1997/1998). Commentary on a critique. The Reading Teacher, 51(4), 282-285.

Volume II (pp. 815-860). White Plains, NY: Longman. Pearson, P.D., & Fielding, L. (1991). Comprehension instruction. In R. Barr, M.L. Kamil, P. Mosenthal, & P.D. Pearson (Eds.), Handbook of reading research:

reading research be the research of? Issues in Education. reading. In N.L. Gage (Ed.), Handbook of Research in Teaching (pp. 865–928). Chicago, IL: Rand McNally. Russell, D.H., & Fea, H. (1963). Research on teaching Pressley, M., & Allington, R. (in press). What should Snow, C.E., Burns, M.S., & Griffin, P. (Eds.). (1998)

Stallings, J. (1975). Implementation and child effects of Preventing reading difficulties in young children. teaching practices in follow through classrooms. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

Monographs of the Society for Research in Child

Development, 40, 7-8.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

### Adopted by the Board of Directors January 1999 Board of Directors at Time of Adoption

Kathryn A. Ransom, President Carol Minnick Santa, President-Elect Carmelita K. Williams, Vice President Alan E. Farstrup, Executive Director

Kathryn H. Au
Betsy M. Baker
Patricia A. Edwards
James V. Hoffman
Adria F. Klein
Diane L. Larson
John W. Logan
Lesley Mandel Morrow
Timothy Shanahan

This brochure may be purchased from the International Reading Association in quantities of 10, prepaid only. (Please contact the Association for pricing information.) Single copies are free upon request by sending a self-addressed, stamped envelope. Requests from outside the U.S. should include an envelope, but postage is not required.

©1999 International Reading Association Cover photo and inside photo: Robert Finken

## Reading Association

800 Barksdale Road PO Box 8139 Newark, Delaware 19714-8139, USA Phone: 302-731-1600

Fax: 302-731-1057 Web site: www.reading.org

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

1033 4/99



### **U.S. Department of Education**



Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI) National Library of Education (NLE) Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)

### **NOTICE**

### **REPRODUCTION BASIS**

| This document is covered by a signed "Reproduction Release (Blanket) form (on file within the ERIC system), encompassing all or classes of documents from its source organization and, therefore, does not require a "Specific Document" Release form. |
|--|
| This document is Federally-funded, or carries its own permission to reproduce, or is otherwise in the public domain and, therefore, may be reproduced by ERIC without a signed Reproduction Release form (either "Specific Document" or "Blanket").    |

This document is covered by a signed "Reproduction Release

